BPS Procurement Directive Working Committee Checklist for Student Transportation

Additional Support for the Implementation of Procurement Policies* as they relate to Student Transportation (*Procurement Policies = BPS Procurement Directive and School Boards' Procurement Policy)		
The following checklist has been developed as a supplementary tool to be used in conjunction with the BPS Procurement Directive, the BPS Procurement Directive Implementation Guide, and the BPS Procurement Directive Toolkit. http://www.fin.gov.on.ca/en/bpssupplychain/procurement.html NOTE: This checklist highlights best business practices and lessons learned from the RFP and two-stage pilot processes. It does not replace the BPS Procurement Directive and supporting BPS documents.		
Planning Stage	 Begin planning well in advance of the need for service. Develop a business case. Seek approval to proceed according to your established delegation of authority. Consider providing publically available advance notice of upcoming procurement activities. Identify the "Procurement Team" and the "Procurement Lead." Review and leverage existing tools, templates and resources in support of student transportation competitive procurement. Identify and leverage additional professional resources that may be required: Legal Support, Procurement Advisor, and Fairness Commissioner. Where additional technical information is required (such as bundling/route bidding strategies, etc.), consider using formal information gathering processes such as a RFI or RFEI. Determine the appropriate procurement option: RFT, RFQ, RFP, RFSQ, etc. Consider your service area and value for money strategy early in the process. Analyze local market conditions, geography and desired business outcomes in your route distribution or bundles. Establish appropriate contract caps to ensure a viable, sustainable competitive market place and reduce the potential for monopoly situations. 	
Development Stage	 Establish a clear timetable indicating the sequence of events for inclusion in the procurement document. Due to the complexity of student transportation procurement, must consider providing proponents with a minimum response time of 30 calendar days. Set clear evaluation criteria which must not discriminate or lead to preferential treatment of a supplier. Review your route and financial information to determine the appropriate pricing components and structure for your procurement document. Conduct sensitivity analysis on these components to test financial impact and suitability. Provide clear route information including vehicle specifications, any specific requirements, and pricing structure in the procurement document to support accurate price quotes, facilitate fair evaluation, and administer contract payment. Ensure a tie bid process is clearly defined in competitive procurement documents. Consider incorporating a <i>Certificate of Independent Bid Determination</i> in bid documents www.competitionbureau.gc.ca. Ensure there is a comprehensive communications strategy in place – including operator information sessions – for responding to proponent questions. Outline rules around communication during proposal evaluation. During the evaluation period (Closing Date to the End Date), Organizations must not communicate with suppliers on matters related to the competitive procurement process unless it is to seek clarification of a bid or notify the successful supplier. 	

BPS Procurement Directive Working Committee Checklist for Student Transportation

Additional Support for the Implementation of Procurement Policies* as they relate to Student Transportation (*Procurement Policies = BPS Procurement Directive and School Boards' Procurement Policy)		
The following checklist has been developed as a supplementary tool to be used in conjunction with the BPS Procurement Directive, the BPS Procurement Directive Implementation Guide, and the BPS Procurement Directive Toolkit. <u>http://www.fin.gov.on.ca/en/bpssupplychain/procurement.html</u> NOTE: This checklist highlights best business practices and lessons learned from the RFP and two-stage pilot processes. It does not replace the BPS Procurement Directive and supporting BPS documents.		
In Process	 All questions submitted by proponents should be responded to via addenda, including questions raised at information sessions. Consider extending submission deadlines if an addendum impacts the initiative in a material way. Ensure the evaluation process provides enough time for independent and team evaluations. All evaluators should record detailed notes to support their evaluation score and to assist in the debriefing process. Records of evaluation scores must be kept for audit processes. 	
Contract Award	 Post contract award notification, in the same manner as the procurement documents were posted, after all agreements have been executed. Provide all unsuccessful proponents with 60 calendar days following the date of the contract award notification to request a debriefing. Consider having the Procurement Lead conduct the debriefing. The Fairness Commissioner may participate but not conduct the debriefing. 	